

Punishment for those who ~~whom~~ commit a crime is essential and no one can refuse it. But the question is that if it is true-right to have fixed punishment for each crime or it is necessary to search about a situation in which the crime has is happened.

Some people believe that there should be fixed punishment for each type of crime and under no circumstances should people ~~should~~ go in the wrong way. They think that fixed punishment not only can prevent/deter ~~avoid~~ people for committing crimes, but also it makes people feeling scared ~~frightening of from~~ crime.

Another group, myself included, believes that facing ~~with~~ criminals can be flexible. This group is inclined to believe that no one was born ~~as~~ a criminal and the situation which a person was born and grew grow up in ~~it~~, is the main factor responsible for making a person do wrong, so. ~~So~~, it may not be fair to deal with ~~face with~~ any guilty person in the same way.

Sociologists who study offenders (~~about~~ people who do wrong) have noticed ~~d~~ that most of these people do not have awareness about their action. Because they mostly live in an area which is poor and they usually are not educated ~~ed~~. As everybody knows (clearly) poverty and low education are the main reason of the cycle of crime.

So sociologists recommend that before punishing ~~facing with~~ a criminal by law, it is necessary to search about conditions which pushed him to be one.

Consequently, investigating ~~decided about the~~ circumstances in which a crime was committed ~~committing in it~~ are necessary and otherwise, fixed punishment cannot be fair in delivering justice. ~~to facing with criminals.~~