Some people think that the government should be responsible for crime prevention while others believe that it is responsibility of the individual to protect themselves.

Discuss both sides and give your opinion.

Although everyone has responsibility to protect themselves against committing crime, the government has a key role in reducing the crime rate.

First of all, governments with their suitable rules should pave the way to have a safe society. For example, all children should have free education. Not only should they continue their education without having financial problems, but also the government should support talented students with grants, scholarships.

Another useful solution that governments could adopt to prevent their society from doing illegal activities is to support one-parent families regardless of the reason. Because it may be due to individual offenders, it is possible for guilty of being that person. To put it simply, in such families where a parent is not present, the environment is available for offence due to lack of enough attention to the child or the meager income is provided because of the loss of a supervisor.

In spite of governments' role, individuals are required to pay attention to ethics. In this regard, the role of education and training is the most important and it is incumbent upon their parents. Parents should teach their children to obey the law in each situation, even if those rules harm them, to detriment of their freedom.

In conclusion, without doubt, laws of governments/the government regulations are very effective for prevention of crime, but it does not mean that families can shirk their own responsibility. Not only do parents play a significant role in nurturing their children, but they also help society by disciplining their charges, introducing small laws and teaching their children to respect laws.